

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 WILLIAM SCOTT ROBERTS,
5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 WILLIAM BROGAN and DARCI M.
8 POLONI,
9 Defendants.

Case No. 2:17-cv-00865-APG-PAL

**ORDER ON REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION**

(ECF No. 12)

10
11 On May 8, 2018, Magistrate Judge Leen entered a report and recommendation that I
12 dismiss the case without prejudice because plaintiff William Scott Roberts has not complied with
13 her orders to either pay the filing fee or submit a completed application to proceed in forma
14 pauperis. ECF No. 12. Roberts did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de
15 novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to
16 “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to
17 which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)
18 (en banc) (“the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations
19 de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Leen’s report and recommendation (**ECF No.**
21 **12) is accepted.** This action is dismissed without prejudice. The clerk of court is instructed to
22 close this case.

23 DATED this 1st day of June, 2018.

24 
25 ANDREW P. GORDON
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27
28